

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY EAST BAY Executive Summary Spring 2024

American College Health Association National College Health Assessment III

ACHA-NCHA III

The ACHA-NCHA III supports the health of the campus community by fulfilling the academic mission, supporting short- and long-term healthy behaviors, and gaining a current profile of health trends within the campus community.



Copyright 2024

All Rights Reserved

Table of Contents

I. Introduction and Notes

II. Findings	
A. General Health and Campus Climate	3
B. Nutrition, BMI, Physical Activity, and Food Security	3
C. Health Care Utilization	4
D. Impediments to Academic Performance	5
E. Violence, Abusive Relationships, and Personal Safety	7
F. Tobacco, Alcohol, and Other Drug Use	8
G. Sexual Behavior	11
H. Mental Health and Wellbeing	12
I. Acute Conditions	14
J. Ongoing or Chronic Conditions	15
K. Sleep	17
III. Demographics and Sample Characteristics	18

ACHA, the nation's principal advocate and leadership organization for college and university health, representing a training a training and wellness services for the nation's 1240. million moode and services, visit www.acha.org, and www.acha.org/NCHA.

1

Suggested citation for this document:

American College Health Association. American College Health Association-National College Health Assessmentdll: California Sta University East Bay Executive Summary Spring 2024. Silver Spring, MD: American College Health Association; 2024.

Introduction and Notes

The ACHA-National College Health Assessment (ACHA-NCHA) is a national research survey organized by the AmericAssSoolledgenHealth (ACHA) to assist college health service providers, health educators, counselors, and administrators in ceillestlinderdastahabitistathd behaviors on the most prevalent health topics. The ACHA-NCHA now provides the largest known comprehensive datablegeon the health students, providing the college health and higher education fields with a vast spectrum of information on student health.

ACHA initiated the original ACHA-NCHA in 2000 and the instrument was used nationwide through the Spring 20108. datevised betweet on periods. The survey was dreddesigned betweet with the ACHA-NCHA-II, was in use from Fall 2008 - Spring 2019 data collection periods. The survey was dreddesigned betweet betweet the ACHA-NCHA III began in Fall 2019.

Notes about this report:

1. Missing values have been excluded from analysis and only valid percents are included in this documenedunless otherwise not

2. The ACHA-NCHA III is programmed differently than earlier versions of the survey. Rather than asking the respondents to answer every que (and offering a "not applicable" option), display logic was used throughout the survey to determine whetherse based earlier question, the student saw a follow-up question. This makes the valid percents of certain questions impossible tplapedy the thementime to answer every que was limited to only the number of students that saw the question. When appropriate, results are also presented this gletheneintation to show the proportion of the overall sample that experienced a particular issue. These differences inhyprested taking be used to document and will often explain differences observed between this document and the full data report. Reseip tions corrected a presented in each table, as well as any footnotes included.

3. About the use of sex and gender in this report: Survey results are reported by sex based on the responses to questions 67A, 67B, and 67 responses to these questions are used to create a new variable called RSEX. RSEX is used for organizing results porthoo AOMArNS. H Respondents are reported as cis men or cis women only when their responses to 67A, 67B, and 67C are confistend evidentity and evidentity and evidentity and evidentity and evidentity and evidentity are the responses. The respondents are designated as either RSE Xnelf over the second evidentity are the respondent are designated as either RSE Xnelf over the second evident is selected for transgender, then respondents are designated as either RSE Xnelf over the second evident is selected for transgender, then respondents are designed as either RSE Xnelf over the second evident is select "yes" for transgender OR their sex at birth is not consistent with their gender identity, then at the evident evidence of the second evident that selects "intersex" for sex at birth, "no" for transgender, and mandem with the evident of the second evidence of the second

4. Changes from NCHA III to NCHA IIIbTo be more inclusive of online leaves (sense of community/belonging) wording was changed and "does not apply" option was ad NaQ20 (sense of safety on/near campus).

- A follow-up questibleQ40B, was added to learn if a pregnancy negatively impacted academic performance in the last 12 months.

- N3Q47A13A18 were reworded to clarify that the respondent experienced bullying, cyberbullying, hazing, microaggression, sexual haras discrimination, and was not the perpetrator.

- N3QMH1-6 were added to the mental health section to measure history of medication and therapy use.

- N3Q54C and N3Q55B (where student received medical and mental health care) were removed.
- COVID-19 vaccin CVIDVAX) questions changed to reflect endemic.
- "Asexual" was addedN3Q68 as a standalone response option (to date, it had been recoded from write-in responses).
- Question \$3073B and C were added to the demographic section to learn more about dropout intention and risk.
- N3Q74 was revised to be clearer about visa and international student status.
- N3Q79Cng a gecy nfr

For additional information about the survey s development, design, and methodology, email Mary T Hoban, PhD, Madeg), (attrastaneach Kukich, MS (ckukich@acha.org), or visit www.acha-ncha.org.

1

We need to draw your attention to anor pbT 9 0 09 as

I. What we've done to date The ACHA-NCHA has asked respondents about their gender identity for 12 years.

We have weed (include include include

II. Why change?

The number of TGNC students in our samples has been increasing over the years. Between 2008 and 2015, the number of stifyieng and TGNC was very small (less than 0.05%). We've learned over the years that gender identity is complex and fluid. To better scaptup lexity, we began asking separate questions about sex at birth and gender identity in Fall 2015. Now TGNC students tend to represent the sample.

With greater number of students identifying as TGNC on the ACHA-NCHA in recent years, we have a better opportunity toduthder steeds and behaviors than we have in years past.

A number of health disparities between TGNC students and their cisgender peers have been well documented[1], and scheetsilgestaitable access to this data in order to better address the needs of TGNC students

III. What's different about the way we are reporting?

First – a note about how we have been reporting ACHA-NCHA results to date. RSEX is a variable we create based on the the popurestions on sex at birth, whether or not a student identifies as transgender, and their gender identity. The RSEX variable hads allows and the spondents into 4 groups for reporting purposes: male, female, non-binary, and missing. (Details about this variable can be four point addouments.)

The value labels for RSEX have been revised to better represent gender identity rather than sex. A value of "1" has been mort all the set of "Cis Monen[3]." The value "3" has been changed from "non-binary" to "Transgend Gender-Nonconforming" (TGNC), as it's a more accurate and inclusive term. The value "4" on RSEX remains "missing/unksoused and i students who do not answer all three questions

The "missing/unknown" column in the Data Report document has <u>been replaited</u> "Trans/Gender-Nonconforming" column. Because space limitations in the report prevent us from displaying all 4 categories plus a total column in the same document, it's noissing/mknown" column that is not displayed. Now when the Total of any given row is higher than the sum of the cis men, cis women, anspose the difference can be attributed to "missing"/unknown" respondents that selected the resonse option presented in that row A column for "Trans/Gender-Nonconforming" has been added the Executive Summary Report document.

IV. Important considerations with this new format

Percentages in the Executive Summary may represent a very small number of TGNC students and can limit the generalipabilitylaf finding. To assist with the interpretation of the percentages displayed in the Executive Summary, the total sample size for eachs greep added to every page.

We encourage ACHA-NCHA surveyors to carefully review their report documents, particularly among the student demographic anadiabl consider students who may be inadvertently identified in the results based on a unique combination of the demographic isticas based or sharing the documents widely or publicly. This is especially true for very small schools, as well as schools that layout dimensional true population.

Think about the implication of working with and documenting very small samples – from the perspective of making meaning feliatities, as well as the privacy of respondents. This is true of all demographic variables, and not limited to gender identity. You inherent communication of working which you make decisions about making your Institutional Data Report publicly available. It is dessent in your Institutional Executive Summary as we only display the percentages with the overall sample size

[2] Cisgender refers to people whose gender identity matches their sex assigned at birth. Cis men is short for "cisgenated is entern used to describe persons who identify as men and were assigned male at birth.

[3] Cis women is short for "cisgender women" and is a term used to describe persons who identify as women and were as signed blieth.

^[1] Greathouse M, BrckaLorenz A, Hoban M, Huseman R, Rankin S, Stolzenberg EB. (2018). Queer-spectrum and trans-specetrum and

This Executive Summary highlights results of the ACHA-NCHA III Spring 2024 survey for California State UniversitygEaSt7Bay cons respondents.

43.6 % cis women, and

81.8 % cis women, and

The response rate was 10.2%.

Findings

A. General Health and Campus Climate

48.1 % of college students surveyed (
described their healthergsgood or excellent.58.5 % cis men,85.3 % of college students surveyed (93.6 % cis men,

described their healtgroad, very good or excellent

Proportion of college students who rported they agree or strongly agree that:

	Cis Men	Cis Women	Gender Non-	- Total	
Percent (%)			conforming		
I feel that I belong at my college/university	67.8	3 69	.6 5'	9.3	68.6
I feel that students health and well-being is a priority of my college/university	61.4	63	9 48	3.1	62.5
I feel that the climate of my college/university encourages free and open discussion ab	out studen	ts health			
and well-being	65.7	7 67	8 5 ⁻	1.9	66.2
We are a college/university where we look out for each other	56.6	60	.6 4	6.2	58.9

B. Nutrition, BMI, Physical Activity, and Food Security

College students reported: Percent (%)	Cis Men	Cis Women	Trans/ Gender Non conforming	ļ	Total	
Drinking O sugar-sweetened beverages (per day), on average, in the last 7 days	31.2	26.		4.6	-	28.4
Drinking 1 or more sugar-sweetened beverages (per day), on average, in the last 7 days	-	-		5.4		71.6
Drinking energy drinks or shots on 0 of the past 30 days	62.9	79	8 6	9.2		74.4
Drinking energy drinks or shots on 1-4 of the past 30 days	16.8	12.	1 19	.2	1:	3.9
Drinking energy drinks or shots on 5 or more of the past 30 days	20.3	8.	0 11	.5	1	1.7
Eating 3 or more servings of fruits (per day), on average, in the last 7 days	25.0	24	2 1	1.5	2	4.0
Eating 3 or more servings of vegetables (per day), on average, in the last 7 days	33.0) 31.	2 2	5 .0	3	31.2

Estimated Body Mass Index (BMI): This figure incorporates reported height and weight to form a general indicator of physical health. Categories define The World Health Organization (WHO) 2000, reprinted 2004. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemi&et/WHO 32000, reprinted 2004. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemi&et/WHO 32000, reprinted 2004.

BMI	Percent (%)	Cis Men	Cis Women	Gender Non-		
<18.5 Underweight		4.6	4.			4.4
18.5-24.9 Healthy Weight		41.8	38.	8 6	1.5 4	40.5
25-29.9 Overweight		36.7	7 26	0 11	1.5 2	28.7
30-34.9 Class I Obesity		10.7	15.	6 11	.5 1	4.0
35-39.9 Class II Obesity		2.0) 8.8			6.6
•40 Class III Obesity		4.1	6.4	l 7.	_ו ק פו	5.7
Mean Median Std Dev		26.0 25.10 6.62	D 25.	7 24	.24	27.12 25.58 7.12

40.0 % transgender/gender non-conform

200

467

57

80.0 % transgender/gender non-conform

Cis Men n =

Cis Women n =

Trans/GNC n =

Trans/

3

Definitions:

Active Adults meet the recommendation for strengt $\ensuremath{\texttt{AND}}\xspace$ activity

Percent (%)	Cis Men	Cis Women	Trans/ Gender Non- conforming	Total	
	71.1	61.	1 51	.9	63.7
	55.8	35	.2 2!	5.9	40.9
	42.6	26	.1 25	5.9	30.6

Food Security Based on responses to the

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

College students reported:

-	Cis Men	Cis Women	Trans/ Gender Non-	Total	
Percent (%)			conforming		
	43.2	2 46.	3 70.4	46.1	
	26.1	25.	3 7.4	25.0	
	2.0	2.4	l 11.1	2.7	
	37.7	40.	3 63.0	40.5	
	34.2	2 31.	5 18.5	31.8	
		53.3	40.7		
	61.0	68.	9 55.6	65.8	
	10.1	13.9	9 29.6	13.3	
	11.1	16.7	18.5	15.0	
	20.0) 56.	0 44.4	44.8	
	18.9	29.	3 33.3	26.52	08 (Perce

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

Percent (%)	Cis Men Cis	s Women Gend	ans/ ler Non- To orming	tal	Cis Men	Cis Wo	Trans men Gender ۱ conform	Non- Total	
	0.5	1.1	3.7	1.0	10	D.O	50.0	100.0	58.3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	12.5	10.3	18.5	11.1		6.8	48.0	71.4	52.0
	0.5	0.6	3.7	0.7		0.0	75.0	100.0	71.4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	5.5	7.5	18.5	7.2		7.8	50.7	100.0	52.6
	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.1		0.0	0.0	100.0	33.3
	0.0	0.6	3.7	0.6		0.0	100.0	100.0	80.0
	2.5	2.1	11.1	2.5		5.5	41.7	75.0	46.2
	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1		D.O	100.0		100.0
	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.4		0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2.5	5.1	11.1	4.5		9.4	54.5	60.0	48.5
	0.5	3.0	3.7	2.4	10	0.0	29.2	20.0	30.9
			ans/		~		Trans		
Percent (%)	Cis Men Cis	s Women Geno confo	der Non- To orming	otal	Cis Men	Cis Wo	omen Gender conform		l
	15.0	22.5	55.6	21.1	:	29.4	31.5	62.5	32.5
	0.5	0.9	0.0	0.7		3.3	33.3	0.0	26.3
	0.0	1.5	3.7	1.1		0.0	36.8	20.0	29.6
	2.0	4.9	3.7	4.1		7.4	13.8	8.3	12.3
	19.5	24.2	29.6	22.8		40.6	40.5	34.8	
	7.0	7.9	18.5	7.9		6.7	59.7	50.0	60.2
	0.5	0.2	7.4	0.7		3.3	14.3	66.7	35.7
	15.0	16.7	40.7	16.9		51.7	47.3	61.1	49.4
	2.0	1.7	3.7	1.8		3.3	17.4	14.3	19.7
				9.8	2	1.6	30.2	41.7	28.9
	5.5	11.6	18.5		2				
	3.0	5.1	11.1	4.6		8.6	38.7	42.9	36.7
	3.0 3.0	5.1 1.9	11.1 14.8	4.6 2.8	2	8.6 8.6	38.7 26.5	42.9 57.1	31.7
	3.0 3.0 0.0	5.1 1.9 11.8	11.1 14.8 14.8	4.6 2.8 8.3	2	8.6 8.6 0.0	38.7 26.5 35.7	42.9 57.1 36.4	31.7 35.5
	3.0 3.0 0.0 1.0	5.1 1.9 11.8 2.6	11.1 14.8 14.8 22.2	4.6 2.8 8.3 2.8	2	8.6 8.6 0.0 3.3	38.7 26.5 35.7 35.3	42.9 57.1 36.4 60.0	31.7 35.5 40.0
	3.0 3.0 0.0 1.0 2.0	5.1 1.9 11.8 2.6 4.1	11.1 14.8 14.8 22.2 7.4	4.6 2.8 8.3 2.8 3.5	2 3 2	8.6 8.6 0.0 3.3 3.5	38.7 26.5 35.7 35.3 46.3	42.9 57.1 36.4 60.0 25.0	31.7 35.5 40.0 37.9
	3.0 3.0 0.0 1.0	5.1 1.9 11.8 2.6	11.1 14.8 14.8 22.2	4.6 2.8 8.3 2.8	2 3 2 3	8.6 8.6 0.0 3.3	38.7 26.5 35.7 35.3	42.9 57.1 36.4 60.0	31.7 35.5 40.0

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

E. Violence, Abusive Relationships, and Personal Safety

Within the last 12 months, college students reported experiencing:	Cis Men	Cis Women	Trans/ Gender Non- conforming	Total
A physical fight	2.0	1.5	5 3.8	1.7
A physical assault (not sexual assault)	2.0	1.7	7 4.0	1.9
A verbal threat	9.0	7.6	6 25.0	8.6
Sexual touching without their consent	3.5	3.0	D 16.0	3.6
Sexual penetration attempt without their consent	1.5	1.7	′	1.6
Sexual penetration without their consent	1.0	1.5	5 0.0	1.3
Being a victim of stalking	2.0	3.	7 20.0	3.7
A partner called me names, insulted me, or put me down to make me feel bad	6.0	8.0	6 19.2	8.3
A partner often insisted on knowing who I was with and where I was or tried to limit I	my contact	with		
family or friends	3.5	4.	1 4.0	4.0
A partner pushed, grabbed, shoved, slapped, kicked, bit, choked or hit me without my c	onsent 1.5	2.8	3 0.0	2.3
A partner forced me into unwanted sexual contact by holding me down or hurting me	in some 0/.0	y 1.9	9 0.0	1.3
A partner pressured me into unwanted sexual contact by threatening me, coercing me,	or using al	cohol or		
other drugs	0.5	1.7	7 0.0	1.3

College students reported for states for the students reported for the

	Cis Men	Cis Women	Trans/ Gender Non-	Total	
Percent (%)			conforming		
	86.2	2 82	.9 7	7.3	83.5
	55.2	2 29	.0 2	5.3	37.1
	54.8	3 50	.1 42	2.9	51.0
	33.9	9 19.	4 11	.1 :	23.8
	Percent (%)	Percent (%) 86.2 55.2 54.8	Percent (%) 86.2 82 55.2 29 54.8 50	Percent (%) Cis Men Cis Women Gender Non- conforming 86.2 82 9 7 55.2 29 2 54.8 50 1 42	Cis Men Cis Women Gender Non- conforming Total 86.2 82.9 77.3 55.2 29.0 26.3 54.8 50.1 42.9

*Note: "Does not apply" responses were removed from the total in the denominator

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

F. Tobacco, Alcohol, and Other Drug Use

Cis Men	Cis Women Ge	Trans/ nder Non- To nforming	tal	Cis Men	Cis Women	Trans/ Gender Non- conforming	Total	
26. 52.		50.0 69.2	23.7 56.5		16.5 46.5	10.3 51.8	14.8 59.3	12.1 49.
33.	7 36.8	57.7	36.5		21.5	21.6	37.0	21.9

Cis Men n = 200

Cis Women n = 467

Trans/GNC n = 27

*Proportion of students who were prescribed a medication and used more than prescribed or more often than prescribed in the mannerships and the ma Trans/

		110						
	Cis Men	Cis Men Cis Women Gender Non- Total						
Percent (%)		confoi	rming					
Prescription stimulants	0.5	5 1.1	0.0	0.8				
Prescription sedatives or sleeping pills	0.5	o 0.2	0.0	0.3				
Prescription opioids	0.5	o 0.2	0.0	0.3				
*These figures use all students in the second as the dependences			ستريحها لممتسمهم	ation on the local days				

These figures use all students in the sample as the denominator, rather than just those students who reported haviptipa presented the title of this table was changed in Fall 2022, but the figures remain the same.

				Trans/	, ,	
		Cis Men	Cis Wom	en Gender I	Non- To	otal
	Percent (%)			conforming 3.4 0.0 4		
Cigarettes		9.0) :	3.4	0.0	4.8
		9.5	5 (6.2	11.1	7.2
		0.5	5 3	2.1	0.0	1.5
		2.5	5 (0.0	7.4	1.0
		1.5	5 (0.2	3.7	0.7
		0.5	5 (0.0	3.7	0.3

Students in Recovery

2.6 % of college students surveyed (4.8 % cis men, 0.9 % cis women, and 15.0 indicated they were in recovery from alcohol or other drug use.

% transgender/gender non-conforming)

When,	if	ever,	was	the	last	time	you:
-------	----	-------	-----	-----	------	------	------

	Drank Alcohol Trans/				*(*Used Cannabis/Marijuana Trans/					
Percent (%)	Cis Men	Cis Women Ge		otal	Cis Men	Cis Wome		Total			
	36.7	31.8	18.5	32.8		59.6	57.3	30.8	57.1		
	30.2	2 32.6	40.7	32.2		15.7	13.9	34.6	15.3		
	9.0	9.4	7.4	9.1		2.5	2.6	3.8	2.7		
	8.0	11.6	11.1	10.6		4.5	3.0	3.8	3.4		
	9.5	8.8	7.4	8.8		3.5	6.4	11.5	5.7		
	6.5	5.8	14.8	6.4		14.1	16.7	15.4	15.7		

Driving under the influence

12.1 % of college students reported driving af **bery lakocingl** in the last 30 days.* *Only students who reported driving in the last 30 days and drinking alcohol in the last 30 days were asked this question.

32.0 % of college students reported driving within 6 hours of using cannabis/marijuana in the last 30 days.* *Only students who reported driving in the last 30 days and using cannabis in the last 30 days were asked this question.

Estimated BAC	Percent (%)	Cis Men	Cis Women G	Trans/ Gender Non- onforming	Total
		92.5	5 91.0	93.3	3 91.5
		95.7	7 93.9	93.3	3 94.3
		0.03	3 0.03	0.04	4 0.03
		0.01	I 0.01	0.04	0.01
		0.06	6 0.05	0.03	3 0.05

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

*Reported number of drinks consumed the last time students drank alcohol in a social setting.

			Trans/]
	Cis Men	Cis Womer	Gender Non	- Tota	l l
Percent (%)			conforming		
	83.0) 88	.4 9	3.3	86.
	3.2	6.	з О	.0 !	5.8
	2.1	2.0) 6	.7 2	2.2
	11.7	2.8	3 0	0.5	5.2
	3.1	2.4	4 2	3 2	2.6
	2.0	2.0) 2	.0 2	2.0
	3.1	1.9) 1.	52	2.3
	Percent (%)	Percent (%) 83.0 3.2 2.1 11.7 3.1 2.0	Percent (%) 83.0 88 3.2 6.1 2.1 2.0 11.7 2.6 3.1 2.4 2.0 2.1	Cis Men Cis Women Gender Non conforming 83.0 88 4 9 3.2 6.8 0 2.1 2.0 6 11.7 2.8 0 3.1 2.4 2 2.0 2.0 2	Cis Men Cis Women Gender Non- conforming Total 83.0 88.4 93.3 9 3.2 6.8 0 9 2.1 2.0 6 7 2 111.7 2.8 0 9 3 2 2.1 2.0 6 7 2 2 1 2.1 2.0 2 0 5 1 1 1 2 1

*Only students who reported drinking alcohol in the last three months were asked this question.

Reported number of times college students consum<u>ed five or more drink</u> a sitting within the last two weeks:

	Among all students surveyed						
	Cis Men	Cis Womer	Trans/ Gender Non	- Total			
Percent (%)			conforming				
Did not drink alcohol in the last two weeks (incl	udes non-						
drinkers)	69.8	8 67	.4 5'	9.3 67.			
None	17.1	21.	7 29	.6 20.5			
1-2 times	10.1	9.0	D 7	4 9.4			
3-5 times	1.5	1.5	5 3.	7 1.6			
6 or more times	1.5	0.4	4 O	0 0.7			

*Among those who reported drinking alcohol

within the last two weeks									
Cis Men	Cis Women	Trans/ Gender No	n- Tots						
		conforming							
			707	(27					
	56.7	66.4	72.7	63.7					
3	3.3	27.6	18.2	29.2					
Ę	5.0	4.6	9.1	4.9					
	5.0	1.3	0.0	2.2					

*Only students who reported drinking alcohol in the last two weeks were asked this question.

*College students who drank alcohol reported experiencing the following in the last 12 months when drinking alcohol:

	Cis Men	Cis Women	Trans/ Gender Non-	Total	
Percent (%)	CI3 MEIT	CIS Women	conforming	Total	
Did something I later regretted	8.9	10.	3 5.	.6 9	9.9
Blackout (forgot where I was or what Ilaligefperiod of time and cannot remembereven whe	n				I
someone reminds me)	3.6	, 7.	2 16.	7 6	6.6
Brownout (forgot where I was or whatsholidperiods of time, but can rememberonce someor	ne				1
reminds me)	4.5	9.	7 16.	7 8	8.6
Got in trouble with the police	0.0) 0.3	3 O.	0 0	0.2
Got in trouble with college/university authorities	0.0	0.0	3 5.	<u>6 (</u>	0.5
Someone had sex withwithout my consent	0.0) 1.0) 11.	1 1.	2
Had sex with some with ever consent	0.0	0.0	3 5.	6 Ø	0.5
Had unprotected sex	7.2	6.			.8
Physically injured myself	2.7	3.	l 5.		.1
Physically injured another person	0.0) O.	7 5.	<u>6 0</u>	0.7
Seriously considered suicide	0.9	9 1.0	0.	0 0	.9
Needed medical help	0.0) 0.:	3 5.	6 0	0.5
Reported two or more of the above	7.0	11.1	14.	3 10	9.0

*Only students who reported drinking alcohol in the last 12 months were asked these questions.

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

G. Sexual Behavior

When, if ever, was the last timeyou had:

Percent (%)	Cis Men	Cis Women Ge co	Trans/ nder Non- Tot nforming	tal	Cis Men	Cis Wome	Trans/ Gender Non- conforming	Total	
	45.5	45.2	33.3	44.8		49.0	38.9	37.0	41.
	26.3	25.7	29.6	26.0		27.3	35.2	40.7	33.
	7.1	6.4	3.7	6.5		4.0	5.0	3.7	4.9
	2.5	6.8	7.4	5.7		4.5	6.6	3.7	5.8
	9.1	6.4	11.1	7.3		5.6	6.1	0.0	5.7
	9.6	9.6	14.8	9.7		9.6	8.1	14.8	8.7

Percent (%)	Cis Men	Trans/ Cis Men Cis Women Gender Non- Total conforming						
	79.2	2	85.9	73.1	83.4			
	4.6	Ś	1.1	3.8	2.3			
	1.5)	0.4	7.7	1.0			
	3.0)	1.3	0.0	1.7			
	2.5	5	1.8	3.8	2.0			
	9.1		9.5	11.5	9.5			

Percent (%)	Cis Men	Cis	Women Gend	ans/ ler Non- To prming	otal
Feicenii (76)	0.0	`	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0
	73.1	1	87.2	57.1	82.7
	14.0)	7.8	14.3	9.5
	1.1		3.1	0.0	2.4
	11.8	3	1.9	28.6	5.4
	2.0)	1.3	2.5	1.5
	1.C)	1.0	1.0	1.0
	2.6	Ś	1.2	2.4	1.8

Percent (%)	Trans/ Cis Men Cis Women Gender Non- Total conforming						
()	9.1	6.8	0.0	7.1			
	37.1	33.2	33.3	33.6			
	75.0	14.3	33.3	47.8			

Percent (%)	Trans/ Cis Men Cis Women Gender Non- Total conforming					
	78.0) 70.2	69	9.2 71.8		
	7.3	4.5	15.	4 5.9		
	13.4	24.8	15	.4 21.4		

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

		Trans/			
		Cis Men	Cis Women	Gender Non-	Total
	Percent (%)			conforming	
Birth control pills (monthly or extended cycle)		20.3	24.1	1 33.3	23.3
Birth control shots		1.6	1.8	11.1	2.0
Birth control implants		10.9	11.8	0.0	11.0
Birth control patch		4.7	1.2	0.0	2.0
The ring		3.1	1.8	0.0	2.0
Emergency contraception ("morning after pill" or "Plan B")		7.8	7.6	0.0	7.3
		14.1	17.6	22.2	16.7
		43.8	42.4	4 33.3	42.0
		0.0	1.2	0.0	0.8
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		10.9	11.2	22.2	12.2
		3.1	4.7	0.0	4.1
		0.0	4.7	22.2	4.1
		1.6	1.8	0.0	1.6
		17.2	21.8	3 11.1	20.0
		7.8	8.8		9.0
*Only students who reported they or their partner used a method the last time they had vaginal	intercourse were a	aske stitmes eThis	equestion was sel	ect all that apply	

'Only students who reported they or their partner used a method the last time they had vaginal intercourse were askestithresel bie question was select all that apply.

Yes (24.7 % cis men,	15.4 % cis women,	30.8 % trans/gender non-conforming)
Yes (3.7 % cis men,	2.5 % cis women,	15.4 % trans/gender non-conforming)

H. Mental Health and Wellbeing

Kessler 6 (K6) Non-Specific Psychological Distress Score (Range is 0-24)

Percent (%)	Cis Men (Trans/ ender Non- To onforming	otal
	79.1	81.7	57.7	80.0
	20.9	18.3	42.3	20.0
	8.00	8.14	12.46	8.28
	7.00	7.00	12.00	7.00
	5.55	5.42	6.15	5.54

UCLA Loneliness Scale (ULS3) Score (Range is 3-9)

		Trar	ns/	
	Cis Men C	Cis Women Gende	r Non- To	otal
Percent (%)		confor	ming	
	53.0	55.3	40.7	54.1
	47.0	44.7	59.3	45.9
	5.33	5.19	5.85	5.26
	5.00	5.00	6.00	5.00
	1.94	1.84	2.11	1.89

Diener Flourishing Scale – Psychological Well-Being (PWB) Score (Range is 8-56) (higher scores reflect a higher level of psychological well-being)

	Cis Men	Cis Womer	Trans/ Gender Non conforming	- Tota	
Mean	43.5	4 45.	94 38	B.74 4	44.87
Median	47.0	D 48.	00 38	3.00 <i>·</i>	47.00
Std Dev	10.11	8.7	8 9.	45 9	.50

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

The Connor-Davison Resilience Scale (CD-RISC2) Score (Range is 0-8) (higher scores reflect greater resilience)

			Trans/		I
	Cis Men	Cis Womer	Gender Non	- Tota	
			conforming		
Mean	6.02	2 6.0	9 5.	37 (6.03
Median	6.00) 6.0	0 5	00	6.00
Std Dev	1.66) 1.5	7 1.5	52 1	61

Self injury

■ 6.0 % of college students surveyed (7.5 % cis men, 3.2 % cis women, and 33.3 % trans/gender non-conforming) indicated they <u>had intentio</u>callyburned, bruised, or otherwise injured themselves within the last 12 months.

Within the last 12 months, have you had problems or challenges with any of the following:							
			Trans/		1		
	Cis Men	Cis Women	Gender Non	- Tota	l		
Percent (%	»)		conforming				
Academics	47.2	2 46	5 48	3.1 4	46.9		
Career	46.	7 43.	2 6	3.0	44.8		
Finances	50.3	3 58	0 59	9.3	55.6		
Procrastination	72.4	1 72	3 92	2.6 .	72.6		
Faculty	15.2	2 12.	5 18	1.5	3.5		
Family	30.8	8 41.	9 70).4 🕻	39.9		
Intimate relationships	27.8	3 32	2 29	9.6	30.6		
Roommate/housemate	16.7	18.	2 33	3.3 1	8.2		
Peers	12.8	B 15.	5 44	1.4 1	16.0		
Personal appearance	41.7	53.	3 63	3.0 5	0.2		
Health of someone close to me	37.6	4 3.	1 61	.5 4	12.0		
	24 (5 27	6 3	7.0	26.9		
Death of a family member, friend, or someone cl	ose to më	2.	е е.				
I was bullied	3.0) 4.	7 14	.8	4.6		
I was cyberbullied	3.5	3.0) 25	.9	4.0		
I was hazed	2.5	1.1	3.	7 1	.6		
I experienced microagression(s)	11.1	14.6	5 51	.9 1	4.9		
I was sexually harassed	4.0) 5.8	3 18	.5	5.8		
I experienced discrimination	7.5	_		.3	9.3		
*Only students who reported a problem or challenge in the last 12	months were	asked about le	evel of distress.				

Students reporting none of the above	9.5	8.	4 3.7	7 8.7
Students reporting only one of the above	8.0) 6.	9 0.0	7.2
Students reporting 2 of the above	15.6	9.	4 3.	7 11.0
Students reporting 3 or more of the above	66.8	8 75	.3 92	.6 73.1

*Of those reporting this issue, it caused

moderate or high distress							
		Trans/					
Cis Men	Cis Women	Gender No	n- Tota	d			
		conforming					
 	81.9	87.9	84.6	85.9			
 	72.0	75.0	82.4	74.			
	73.5	79.9	81.3	78.4			
	70.8	67.9	64.0	68.0			
	46.7	55.2	80.0	54.3			
	63.9	67.9	68.4	67.0			
	61.8	58.5	75.0	60.0			
	57.6	60.7	44.4	58.7			
	36.0	45.1	58.3	44.5			
	49.4	61.5	70.6	59.3			
	67.1	60.9	66.7	62.8			
	71.4	81.0	70.0	77.8			
5	0.0	45.5	100.0	53.1			
	28.6	46.2	42.9	40.7			
60	0.0 4	10.0	0.0	45.5			
1	8.2	52.2	50.0	44.7			
2	25.0	55.6	80.0	52.5			
4	46.7	53.8	55.6	52.4			

Suicide Behavior Questionnaire-Revised (SBQR) Screening Score (Range is 3-18)

Percent (%)	Cis Men Cis \	Nomen Gend	ans/ ler Non- Total prming
	80.0	83.3	33.3 79.9
	20.0	16.7	66.7 20.1
	4.69	4.42	8.37 4.69
	3.00	3.00	8.00 3.00
	2.73	2.48	3.70 2.75

1.82 % cis men,0.7 % cis women, and15.4 % trans/gender non-conforming) indicated they had attempted suicide within the last 12 months.

Within the last 30 days, how would you rate the overall level of stress experienced:

Percent (%)	Cis Men	Cis Women Ge	Trans/ Sender Non- Total onforming			
	5.5	1.7	3.7	2.9		
	29.0	19.1	14.8	21.7		
	44.0	47.4	51.9	46.7		
	21.5	31.8	29.6	28.7		

I. Acute Conditions

Cis Men n =

Cis Women n =

Trans/GNC n =

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

STI's/Other chronic infections		0		ported <u>eve</u> being the following:	I	*Of those ever diagnos healthcare or MH profes montl	sional within last 12			
				Trans/		Trans/				
		Cis Men	Cis Women	Gender Non- T	Cis Men Cis Wome	Gender Non- Tota	I			
	Percent (%)			conforming			conforming			
		1.C) 1.3	3.7	1.3	50.0	66.7 0.0	55.6		
		0.5	5 0.	2 3.8	0.4	0.0	100.0 0.0	33.3		
		1.C	0.2	2 0.0	0.4	50.0	100.0 0.0	66.7		
		0.5	5 3.	9 18.5	3.5	100.0	52.9 40.0) 52.2		

*Only students who reported ever being diagnosed were asked about contact with a healthcare or mental health profession asith 2 months.

		students reported nosed with the foll				Trans/		
Cis Me	en	Cis Women Gende		tal	Cis Men Cis Wome	Gender Non- 1	Гotal	
			orming			conforming		1
2	24.4		40.7	26.3	18.8	43.4	9.1	34.8
	9.2	9.5	29.6	10.2	16.7	31.8	0.0	24.3
	7.6	9.7	11.5	9.1	26.7	33.3 (0.C	30.2
1'	19.3	27.1	37.0	25.4	31.6	32.8	20.0	32.0
14	14.2	17.3	22.2	16.5	17.9	48.8 1	16.7	39.5
(0.5	2.2	7.4	1.9	0.0	70.0 50	0.0	61.5
(0.0	0.4	4.0	0.4	0.0	50.0 (0.0	33.3
:	3.0	9.5	25.9	8.4	66.7	74.4 5	57.1	71.9
:	3.6	7.8	18.5	7.0	28.6	75.0 4	0.0	64.6
Endometriosis	0.0	1.7	11.5	1.6	0.0	52.5 C	0.0	45.5
Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) or acid reflux	4.6	7.1	14.8	6.7	77.8	69.7 (0.0	65.2
Heart & vascular disorders (for example: atrial fibrillation	n							
or other cardiac arrhythmia, mitral valve prolapse or oth								l
, 5	0.5		7.4	2.5			0.0	47.1
5 1 ()	3.6	5.0	7.4	4.7			0.0	65.6
High cholesterol (hyperlipidemia)	3.1	3.7	7.4	3.6	16.7 8	88.2 50	0.0	68.0
Irritable bowel syndrome (spastic colon or spastic bowe	€l)5	5.0	7.4	4.1	66.7	60.9 (0.C	57.1
Migraine headaches	2.1	14.3	20.8	11.0	25.0	62.1 8	30.0	61.3
(0.0	6.8	11.1	5.0	0.0	54.8 33	3.3	52.9
Sleep Apnea	4.6	4.1	4.0	4.2	11.1	78.9 C	0.0	55.2
Thyroid condition or disorder	0.0	4.5	7.7	3.5	0.0	90.5 (0.0	83.3
Urinary system disorder (for example: bladder or kidney	/							ļ
	1.5	1.7	3.7	1.7	66.7 7	71.4 0	0.0	63.6
*Only students who reported ever being diagnosed were asked about contact	ct wit	h a healthcare or mer	tal health profe	əs siomealavsi r	itHi2 months.			

Cis Men n =	200
Cis Women n =	467
Trans/GNC n =	27

Students who reported being diagnosed with diabetes or pre-diabetes/insulin resistance, indicated they had:

			Trans/		
	Cis Men	Cis Womer	Gender Non	- Total	
Percent	(%)		conforming		
Type I Diabetes	14.3	37.	4 O	.0 7	.7
Type II Diabetes	0.0) 38.	7 20	0.0 30	0.2
Pre-diabetes or insulin resistance	100.	D 67	.7 80	D.O 7	3.8
Gestational Diabetes	0.0) 10.	7 O	.07	.5

K. Sleep

Reported amount of time to usually fall asleep at night (sleep onset latency):

	Cis Men	Cis Womer	Trans/ Gender Non-	- Total
Percent (%)			conforming	
Less than 15 minutes	47.0) 39	.2 30	0.8 41.3
16 to 30 minutes	26.0) 25	.1 34	1.6 25.5
31 minutes or more	27.0) 35	.8 34	4.6 33.2

Over the last 2 weeks, students reported the following average amount of sleep (excluding naps):

On weeknights			
		Trans/	
Cis Men	Cis Womer	Gender Non	- Total
(conforming	
54.3	3 47	.0 4:	2.3 48.
45.	7 50	.0 5	7.7 49.
0.0) 3.	0 0	.0 2.0
	54. 45.	Cis Men Cis Womer 54.3 47 45.7 50	Cis MenCis WomenTrans/ Gender Non- conforming54.347.04145.750.051

Students reported the following on 3 or more of the last 7 dps:

	On weekend nights						
	Cis Men	Cis Women	Trans/ Gender No	n- Tota	il I		
			conforming				
		28.0	26.0	23.1			
		66.5	66.3	65.4	65.9		
[5.5	7.7	11.5	7.3		

	Felt tired or sleepy during the day				
				Trans/	
		Cis Men	Cis Women	Gender Non	- Total
	Percent (%)			conforming	
0 days		7.0	3.9	9 3	.8 5.0
1-2 days		32.7	7 24	.7 7	7.7 26.2
3-5 days		41.2	2 43.	9 42	2.3 43.2
6-7 days		19.1	27.	5 46	0.2 25.5

Got enough sleep so that they felt rested

		Trans/		
Cis Men	Cis Womer	Gender No	n- Tota	d
		conforming		
1	2.1 [.]	17.4	26.9	16.3
:	33.7	41.7	30.8	38.7
	43.7	32.3	23.1	35.4
	10.6	8.6	19.2	9.6

Demographics and Sample Characteristics

Age

Students describeeyaJemselve ans

■ First generation students 58.2 % (Proportion of students for whom no parent/guardian have completed a bachelor's degree)

10.8 %

3.8 %

2.3 %

6.7 %

1.0 % 5.2 % 1.7 %

• Do you have any of the following? Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

Autism Spectrum Disorder:

Learning disability: Mobility/Dexterity disability: Blind/low vision: Speech or language disorder:

(ADD or ADHD):

Deaf/Hearing loss:

 Participated in organized college athletics: Varsity: Club sports: Intramurals: 	4.2 % 3.2 % 4.4 %
Member of a <u>socia</u> fraternit y or sorority: Greek member:	3.5 %
Dropout Intention Very, moderately, or slightly likely to: Leave school and transfer to another school Leave school without transferring to another school	8.9 % 5.6 %

%